

US EPA

JUN 10 2016

IDAHO OPERATIONS OFFICE

Revised Draft

Smoky Canyon Mine Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study

CERCLA Cover Material Source Evaluation Technical Memorandum

June 2016

Prepared for:



J.R. Simplot Company
Smoky Canyon Mine
1890 Smoky Canyon Mine Road
Afton, WY 83110

P.O. Box 27, One Capital Center
999 Main Street, Suite 1300
Boise, ID 83707-0027

Prepared by:



2500 55th Street, Suite 200
Boulder, Colorado 80301

USEPA SF



1567861

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LIST OF TABLES	II
LIST OF FIGURES	II
LIST OF ACRONYMS	II
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Purpose and Objectives	2
1.2 Document Organization	2
2.0 EXISTING INFORMATION	3
2.1 Dinwoody Formation Material	3
2.2 Rex Chert/Limestone	5
3.0 COST EVALUATION	7
4.0 CONCLUSIONS	8
5.0 REFERENCES	9



J.R. SIMPLOT COMPANY 1130 W. HIGHWAY 30 POCATELLO, IDAHO 83204
P.O. BOX 912 POCATELLO, IDAHO 83204
(208) 235-5602 FAX (208) 235-5699

CORPORATE – POCATELLO OFFICE

June 8, 2016

US EPA

JUN 10 2016

IDAHO OPERATIONS OFFICE

Art Burbank
USDA – Forest Service Intermountain Region
4350 South Cliffs Drive
Pocatello, ID 83204

**Subject: Smoky Canyon Mine Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS)
Revised Draft CERCLA Cover Material Source Evaluation Technical
Memorandum**

Dear Mr. Burbank:

Enclosed is the Revised Draft CERCLA Cover Material Source Evaluation Technical Memorandum, submitted in response to Agency comments dated May 13, 2016 on the Draft Technical Memorandum dated March 10, 2016. This submittal was prepared pursuant to the August 2009 Settlement Agreement/Consent Order, as part of the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) conducted under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA).

Please review the enclosed submittal and provide feedback. The Word and pdf files for this submittal are available for downloading at:

<https://smokyrifs.formationclient.com/>

Username: (b) (6)

Password (case sensitive): (b) (6)

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Monty Johnson
Environmental Engineering Manager

Enclosures

Mr. Art Burbank

June 8, 2016

Page 2

cc: Art Burbank- USDA Forest Service, 410 East Hooper, Soda Springs, ID 83276
Sherri Stumbo- USDA Forest Service, 4350 South Cliffs Drive, Pocatello, ID 83204
James Alexander- USDA Office of the General Counsel (electronic files only)
Wayne Crowther- IDEQ, 444 Hospital Way, Suite 300, Pocatello, ID 83201
Brady Johnson- IDEQ, 1410 North Hilton, Boise, ID 83706
Colleen O'Hara-Epperly- BLM, 4350 South Cliffs Dr., Pocatello, ID 83204
Sandi Fisher- USFWS, 4425 Burley Dr., Suite A, Chubbuck, ID 83202
Matt Wilkening- USEPA, 950 W. Bannock St., Suite 900, Boise, ID 93702
Ted Yackulic - USEPA, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101 (electronic files only)
Kelly Wright- Shoshone-Bannock Tribes, P.O. Box 306, Fort Hall, ID 83203
Susan Hanson, (b) (6)
Gary Billman- IDL, 3553 East Ririe Highway, Idaho Falls, ID 83401
Rick McCormick- CH2M Hill, 322 East Front St., Suite 200, Boise, ID 83702
Jeff Osterman- CH2M Hill, 322 East Front St., Suite 200, Boise, ID 83702
Alan Prouty- J.R. Simplot Company, P.O. Box 27, Boise, ID 83707
Burl Ackerman- J.R. Simplot Company, P.O. Box 27, Boise, ID 83707
Rachel Roskelley- J.R. Simplot Company, P.O. Box 1270, Afton, WY 83110
Chad Gentry (email only)- J.R. Simplot Company, P.O. Box 1270, Afton, WY 83110
Andy Koulermos- Formation Environmental, 2500 55th St., Boulder, CO 80301

**Simplot Responses to Agency Comments (May 13, 2016) on
“Draft CERCLA Cover Material Source Evaluation Technical Memorandum”
(March 2016 Submittal to the Agencies)**

Specific Comments:

SC-1 Page 2, Section 1.1, second paragraph: This paragraph describes the information following as addressing the Dinwoody material only. Section 2.2 addressed the Rex Chert/Limestone. Add “and Rex Chert / Limestone” after Dinwoody in the second sentence.

Response: The requested text has been added.

SC-2 Page 3, Section 2.1, second paragraph: Provide the range of selenium concentrations for soils sampling during the RI in Panel E Area 2 for comparison.

Response: The requested information has been added to the discussion.

SC-3 Page 3, Section 2.1, second paragraph: The text indicates that the Dinwoody borrow area was considered suitable as reclamation based on the suitability guidance. Provide the agronomic results and comparison criteria that was referenced in the text.

Response: The requested information has been added to the discussion.

SC-4 Page 4, Section 2.1, fifth paragraph: Provide further detail regarding the full characterization of the Dinwoody soils. This detail should include the Dinwoody source areas and concentration statistics. This information would be useful to determine if further testing is warranted and that concentrations have remained consistent at all source areas.

Response: Available data for Dinwoody material are summarized in new Table 2-2. For further site-specific characterization, Simplot plans to collect samples from test pits to be excavated in the proposed Dinwoody material source areas. Prior to that field investigation, Simplot will submit an investigation plan for agency review.

SC-5 Page 5, Section 2.2, third paragraph: Provide further information on the chemical properties of the Rex Chert / Limestone to determine if further testing is necessary.

Response: Available data for Rex Chert/limestone are summarized in new Table 2-3. The text has been revised to note that sample collection of Rex Chert/limestone is included in ongoing mining operations. No additional characterization of Rex Chert/limestone material sources is proposed by Simplot.

SC-6 Page 7, Section 4, first paragraph: The text states that “there are sufficient volumes of locally available Dinwoody for use in CERCLA covers”. However, in Section 2.1, seventh paragraph; the text states that “volumes of cover material that could be

needed for CERCLA remedy is not currently known". Provide clarification as to the conflicting statements.

Response: The text in Section 4 has been clarified to indicate that the locally available Dinwoody for use in CERCLA covers (7.4 million cubic yards) exceeds the range of volumes anticipated (1.2 to 6 million cubic yards) although not yet specified. Further, the text in Section 2 has been revised to state that the volume of Dinwoody that could be needed has not been specified, pending evaluations conducted under the FS.

SC-7 Table 2-1: Check the footnotes to insure they are labeled correctly and/or applied correctly. It appears that at least a correction is needed for footnote e.

Response: The footnotes have been checked and corrected as needed.



J.R. SIMPLOT COMPANY 1130 W. HIGHWAY 30 POCATELLO, IDAHO 83204
P.O. BOX 912 POCATELLO, IDAHO 83204
(208) 235-5602 FAX (208) 235-5699

USEPA

JUN 10 2016

IDAHO OPERATIONS OFFICE

CORPORATE – POCATELLO OFFICE

June 8, 2016

Art Burbank
USDA – Forest Service Intermountain Region
4350 South Cliffs Drive
Pocatello, ID 83204

**Subject: Smoky Canyon Mine Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS)
Revised Draft CERCLA Cover Material Source Evaluation Technical
Memorandum**

Dear Mr. Burbank:

Enclosed is the Revised Draft CERCLA Cover Material Source Evaluation Technical Memorandum, submitted in response to Agency comments dated May 13, 2016 on the Draft Technical Memorandum dated March 10, 2016. This submittal was prepared pursuant to the August 2009 Settlement Agreement/Consent Order, as part of the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) conducted under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA).

Please review the enclosed submittal and provide feedback. The Word and pdf files for this submittal are available for downloading at:

<https://smokyriifs.formationclient.com/>

Username: (b) (6)

Password (case sensitive): (b) (6)

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Monty Johnson
Environmental Engineering Manager

Enclosures

Mr. Art Burbank

June 8, 2016

Page 2

cc: Art Burbank- USDA Forest Service, 410 East Hooper, Soda Springs, ID 83276
Sherri Stumbo- USDA Forest Service, 4350 South Cliffs Drive, Pocatello, ID 83204
James Alexander- USDA Office of the General Counsel (electronic files only)
Wayne Crowther- IDEQ, 444 Hospital Way, Suite 300, Pocatello, ID 83201
Brady Johnson- IDEQ, 1410 North Hilton, Boise, ID 83706
Colleen O'Hara-Epperly- BLM, 4350 South Cliffs Dr., Pocatello, ID 83204
Sandi Fisher- USFWS, 4425 Burley Dr., Suite A, Chubbuck, ID 83202
Matt Wilkening- USEPA, 950 W. Bannock St., Suite 900, Boise, ID 93702
Ted Yackulic - USEPA, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101 (electronic files only)
Kelly Wright- Shoshone-Bannock Tribes, P.O. Box 306, Fort Hall, ID 83203
Susan Hanson, (b) (6)
Gary Billman- IDL, 3553 East Rine Highway, Idaho Falls, ID 83401
Rick McCormick- CH2M Hill, 322 East Front St., Suite 200, Boise, ID 83702
Jeff Osterman- CH2M Hill, 322 East Front St., Suite 200, Boise, ID 83702
Alan Prouty- J.R. Simplot Company, P.O. Box 27, Boise, ID 83707
Burl Ackerman- J.R. Simplot Company, P.O. Box 27, Boise, ID 83707
Rachel Roskelley- J.R. Simplot Company, P.O. Box 1270, Afton, WY 83110
Chad Gentry (email only)- J.R. Simplot Company, P.O. Box 1270, Afton, WY 83110
Andy Koulermos- Formation Environmental, 2500 55th St., Boulder, CO 80301

**Simplot Responses to Agency Comments (May 13, 2016) on
“Draft CERCLA Cover Material Source Evaluation Technical Memorandum”
(March 2016 Submittal to the Agencies)**

Specific Comments:

- SC-1 Page 2, Section 1.1, second paragraph:** This paragraph describes the information following as addressing the Dinwoody material only. Section 2.2 addressed the Rex Chert/Limestone. Add “and Rex Chert / Limestone” after Dinwoody in the second sentence.

Response: The requested text has been added.

- SC-2 Page 3, Section 2.1, second paragraph:** Provide the range of selenium concentrations for soils sampling during the RI in Panel E Area 2 for comparison.

Response: The requested information has been added to the discussion.

- SC-3 Page 3, Section 2.1, second paragraph:** The text indicates that the Dinwoody borrow area was considered suitable as reclamation based on the suitability guidance. Provide the agronomic results and comparison criteria that was referenced in the text.

Response: The requested information has been added to the discussion.

- SC-4 Page 4, Section 2.1, fifth paragraph:** Provide further detail regarding the full characterization of the Dinwoody soils. This detail should include the Dinwoody source areas and concentration statistics. This information would be useful to determine if further testing is warranted and that concentrations have remained consistent at all source areas.

Response: Available data for Dinwoody material are summarized in new Table 2-2. For further site-specific characterization, Simplot plans to collect samples from test pits to be excavated in the proposed Dinwoody material source areas. Prior to that field investigation, Simplot will submit an investigation plan for agency review.

- SC-5 Page 5, Section 2.2, third paragraph:** Provide further information on the chemical properties of the Rex Chert / Limestone to determine if further testing is necessary.

Response: Available data for Rex Chert/limestone are summarized in new Table 2-3. The text has been revised to note that sample collection of Rex Chert/limestone is included in ongoing mining operations. No additional characterization of Rex Chert/limestone material sources is proposed by Simplot.

- SC-6 Page 7, Section 4, first paragraph:** The text states that “there are sufficient volumes of locally available Dinwoody for use in CERCLA covers”. However, in Section 2.1, seventh paragraph; the text states that “volumes of cover material that could be

needed for CERCLA remedy is not currently known". Provide clarification as to the conflicting statements.

Response: The text in Section 4 has been clarified to indicate that the locally available Dinwoody for use in CERCLA covers (7.4 million cubic yards) exceeds the range of volumes anticipated (1.2 to 6 million cubic yards) although not yet specified. Further, the text in Section 2 has been revised to state that the volume of Dinwoody that could be needed has not been specified, pending evaluations conducted under the FS.

SC-7 Table 2-1: Check the footnotes to insure they are labeled correctly and/or applied correctly. It appears that at least a correction is needed for footnote e.

Response: The footnotes have been checked and corrected as needed.

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>
2-1	Potential CERCLA Cover Material Source Areas
2-2	Existing Information for Dinwoody Characterization
2-3	Existing Information for Rex Chert/Limestone Characterization
3-1	Estimated Costs to Develop Material Sources and Haul for CERCLA Cover Use

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Title</u>
1-1	Site Location
2-1	Locations of Potential Material Source Areas

LIST OF ACRONYMS

BLM	Bureau of Land Management (U.S. Department of the Interior)
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
COPC	Chemical of Potential Concern
CO	Consent Order
cy	cubic yard
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
FS	Feasibility Study
IDAPA	Idaho Administrative Procedures Act
IDEQ	Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
mg/kg	milligram per kilogram
mg/L	milligram per liter
mmho/cm	millimho per centimeter
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service (U.S. Department of Agriculture)
NTCRA	Non-Time-Critical Removal Action
ODA	overburden disposal area
RI	Remedial Investigation
ROD	Record of Decision
ROM	run-of-mine
SFSC	South Fork Sage Creek
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
USFS	U.S. Forest Service (U.S. Department of Agriculture)

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The J.R. Simplot Company (Simplot) operates the Smoky Canyon Phosphate Mine ("Site" or "Mine") on National Forest System land in southeastern Idaho (Figure 1-1). The Smoky Canyon Mine is the subject of a 2009 Administrative Settlement Agreement and Order on Consent/Consent Order (Settlement Agreement/CO) entered into by the U.S. Forest Service (USFS), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (IDEQ), and Simplot (USFS, USEPA, and IDEQ 2009). The Settlement Agreement/CO provides a mechanism to conduct a Remedial Investigation (RI) and Feasibility Study (FS). In accordance with that Settlement Agreement/CO, Simplot has investigated the environmental effects of phosphate mining and milling operations at the Mine and is in the process of identifying remedies to address environmental conditions that represent a risk to human health or the environment.

Simplot submitted the final RI report (Formation 2014) in which the environmental conditions at the Site are described. The RI shows that selenium concentrations at Hoopes Spring, South Fork Sage Creek (SFSC) springs, lower SFSC, and lower Sage Creek are above the Idaho surface water quality criterion for protection of aquatic life (0.005 milligrams per liter [mg/L] = chronic criterion; Idaho Administrative Procedures Act [IDAPA] 58.01.02.210), and exceed Idaho's current acute criterion (0.02 mg/L). The discharge at these two springs is the primary source of selenium to surface waters in the lower Sage Creek drainage.

The Site sources of selenium and other chemicals of potential concern (COPCs) to the springs are run-of-mine (ROM) overburden materials, removed during active mining to access underlying phosphate ore and then used to backfill pits or placed in external overburden disposal areas (ODAs). The overburden contains different bedrock units (Meade Peak Shale, Rex Chert/limestone, and Cherty Shale) that are chemically and mineralogically distinct from one another. The primary sources of COPCs within the overburden are the sulfides and organic matter present in the Mudstone and Middle Waste Shale from the Meade Peak Member. Water infiltrating through the overburden mobilizes selenium and other COPCs. A portion of the impacted water migrates to the Wells Formation aquifer. In the south portion of the Site, all Wells Formation groundwater that is affected by Site sources discharges at Hoopes Spring and SFSC springs (Formation 2014). The RI analysis indicates that elevated selenium concentrations will persist at the springs over the next several decades unless remedial actions are implemented. The primary remedial actions expected to be evaluated in the FS are source controls (additional low permeability covers on the ODAs to reduce infiltration) and water treatment at the springs.

1.1 Purpose and Objectives

The objective of this Technical Memorandum is to identify source areas and available volumes of the primary materials used in cover systems at the site that will be considered in the FS process under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA); these covers are referenced herein as "CERCLA cover systems". The materials are Dinwoody Formation material (referred to as "Dinwoody") and a combination of Rex Chert and limestone gravel (referred to as "Rex Chert/limestone").

The information presented herein will be used to understand the opportunities, constraints, and costs, for developing borrow areas for Dinwoody, and how the material sources will be used for planned mining operations and for the FS CERCLA cover evaluation. Information has been included for Dinwoody and Rex Chert/limestone sources that have already been designated for other projects at the Mine (for example, the East Smoky project and reclamation of Panels F and G). The information provided will be used to develop a matrix of available cover borrow areas to support the identification and evaluation of remedial alternatives in the FS.

1.2 Document Organization

This document is comprised of five sections, organized as follows:

- **Section 1.0 – Introduction:** provides a general description of the purpose of the evaluation.
- **Section 2.0 – Existing Information:** provides a discussion of available information on material types, sources, and uses for recent and ongoing cover system work at the Site, and preliminary estimates of available materials and volumes that may be needed for CERCLA cover systems.
- **Section 3.0 – Cost Evaluation:** presents cost estimates for developing and utilizing potential material sources for CERCLA cover systems.
- **Section 4.0 – Conclusions:** provides a brief summary of the primary findings of the cover material source evaluation.
- **Section 5.0 – References:** provides a listing of the referenced documentation.

2.0 EXISTING INFORMATION

This section presents information on the material types to be evaluated for potential use in CERCLA cover systems. These materials are locally available on National Forest System land as shown in Figure 2-1. Dinwoody Formation and Rex Chert/limestone materials have been tested or previously used for covers developed as part of mining and reclamation operations.

General information prepared for the preliminary listing of potential cover material sources is presented in Table 2-1. For each potential material source, the land ownership and source location relative to the USFS lease area are listed. Other information includes the estimated area, estimated recoverable volume, potential slope issues, assumed haul destination and distance, and recommendation for further evaluation as a CERCLA cover material source. Material sources recommended for further evaluation are included in the discussion of this section; those sources that are not carried forward were eliminated due to issues related to slope steepness, slope stability, accessibility, or previous commitment for other Mine-related uses. Estimated costs to access, excavate, and haul materials from the material source areas to areas potentially requiring CERCLA cover systems are presented and discussed in Section 3.

2.1 Dinwoody Formation Material

Dinwoody is well suited for use in cover systems at the Mine. It is a locally available/abundant material that can be easily accessed in areas near the backfilled pits and external ODAs. The chemical, agronomic, and geotechnical properties of Dinwoody have been characterized in a number of settings at the Mine for its use in cover systems. Available information for Dinwoody material is summarized in Table 2-2 and draws from various sources including the Final RI Report (Formation 2014) and Simplot communications (Simplot 2007 and 2015b). Additionally, the water balance characteristics of Dinwoody are currently being investigated in detail as part of a field performance monitoring system constructed on the southern extent of the Panel E external ODA; the goal of that investigation is to better understand the net percolation in order to evaluate the performance of the Dinwoody cover system design approved for the Panels F and G expansion of the Mine.

Dinwoody has already been successfully used for the cover systems at Panel E and as part of the Non-Time-Critical Removal Action (NTCRA) cover system constructed at the Pole Canyon ODA in 2015 (USFS, IDEQ, and Shoshone-Bannock Tribes 2013). Also, Dinwoody will be used as an important part of the reclamation cover systems at Panel F and Panel G, which will be implemented per reclamation required after completion of active mining by Records of Decision (RODs) for mining issued by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the USFS.

Although there is some variability in the composition and properties of Dinwoody in the area, it is generally comprised of interbedded siltstone, shale, and limestone that grade into a calcareous shale and siltstone with depth. Typically, Dinwoody is a well-graded, fine-textured material with a low saturated hydraulic conductivity, depending on method of placement, and a high moisture storage capacity.

Dinwoody material has been characterized for COPC concentrations and other properties in several investigations and as part of the NTCRA constructed at the Pole Canyon ODA in 2015 (Table 2-2). The Dinwoody borrow source used in 2015 for construction of the NTCRA is located adjacent to and west of Panel D. Selenium concentrations for Dinwoody borrow material samples ranged from 0.12 to 0.4 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), which is similar to the range for soils sampled during the RI in Panel E Area 2 (0.073 to 0.94 mg/kg, with a mean of 0.325 mg/kg) (Table 2-2), which was reclaimed with surface layer materials of topsoil and Dinwoody (Formation 2014).

In general, Dinwoody has adequate agronomic properties for growing vegetation when amended with fertilizer. As part of the NTCRA constructed at the Pole Canyon ODA, agronomic results for the Dinwoody borrow area were reviewed relative to guidance for evaluating soils for reclamation as provided by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) (2013a, 2013b) via the USFS (2013, 2014). Agronomic testing for comparison with the criteria included in the NRCS suitability guidance focused on assessing results relative to the "not limiting" ranges for pH (6.0 to 8.0), organic matter (1 percent or greater), and salts (electrical conductivity less than 8 millimhos per centimeter [mmho/cm]). Based on the suitability guidance, the Dinwoody borrow area material was generally suitable ("not limiting") for reclamation per the measured ranges of pH (6 to 8.2), organic matter (1.05 to 1.62 percent), and electrical conductivity (0.4 to 0.5 mmho/cm).

The full listing of preliminarily identified Dinwoody sources is provided in Table 2-1 and shown in Figure 2-1. Of those listed in Table 2-1, the following have been identified for further evaluation:

- B-Panel DW Borrow A and B (located northeast of B-Panel)
- West Smoky DW Borrow C (located west of A-Panel)
- D-Panel DW Borrow A and B (located west of D-Panel)
- E-Panel DW Borrow A and B (located west of E-Panel)

Based on available information obtained from previous investigations of Dinwoody sources (e.g., west of Panel D for the Pole Canyon NTCRA cover system [2015]), the maximum estimated recoverable Dinwoody volume from these potential borrow sources is 7.4 million cubic yards (cy) (Table 2-1). Further testing of Dinwoody will not be required initially due to the amount of sampling and testing that has already occurred (Table 2-2), and given the fact that this material has already been used successfully on-site. However, for further site-specific characterization, Simplot plans to collect samples from test pits to be excavated in the proposed

Dinwoody material source areas. Prior to that field investigation, Simplot will submit an investigation plan for agency review. To the extent possible, potential borrow area materials considered by the FS will be reviewed relative to guidance for evaluating soils for reclamation as provided by the NRCS (2013a, 2013b) via the USFS (2013, 2014).

Current material balances show that all accessible Dinwoody in the on-lease area is required to complete reclamation plans for ongoing/current mining activities, whereas off-lease Dinwoody borrow areas might be available for use in CERCLA cover systems. The volume of cover material that could be needed for a CERCLA remedy has not been specified, pending evaluations conducted under the FS; improved understanding of the required volumes is expected upon finalization of Technical Memorandum 1 (Development and Screening of Remedial Alternatives) in summer 2016. If covers are required for the CERCLA remedy in Panels A and D, with a total surface area of approximately 750 acres (maximum), material volumes would range from 1.2 to 6 million cy corresponding to cover thicknesses of 1 to 5 feet, respectively. If a 3-foot-thick Dinwoody layer is identified for a CERCLA cover system in Panels A and D, then a maximum material volume of 3.6 million cy would be needed.

2.2 Rex Chert/Limestone

The Rex Chert member overlays the ore body at the Mine, and consists of a combination of chert and limestone. The material obtained from the Rex Chert member during the mining process is coarse and gravelly. Rex chert/limestone has already been used in ODA covers at the Site, but not as a growth medium as would be the function of Dinwoody. The primary function of the Rex Chert/limestone layer is to provide a capillary break below the cover, deterring root growth into the ROM overburden materials. A capillary break layer can also provide lateral drainage which can improve the long-term stability and performance of covers. Rex Chert/limestone is proven in its effectiveness, and will be incorporated into the CERCLA cover systems evaluated under the FS.

As part of ongoing mining operations in Panel B, Rex Chert/limestone is excavated to access the ore body. Current mining plans call for placement of the excavated Rex Chert/limestone in the pit after the ore has been extracted. However, in preparation for implementation of CERCLA cover systems as part of the remedy, Simplot now proposes to stockpile this Rex Chert/limestone in an area south of the mined pit during Panel B mining over the next several years. By not backfilling the pit with all of the excavated Rex Chert/limestone, the total volume of backfill material available for Panel B would be reduced. Therefore, a change in the final topography of Panel B will be proposed by Simplot for approval by the agencies. Site constraints may require use of some of the Rex Chert/limestone in the final topography of Panel B; Rex Chert/limestone that is not required for the final (revised) topography will be stockpiled as noted above.

The FS will evaluate the extent and available volume of Panel B Rex Chert/limestone in coordination with the timing and backfill requirements of mining in this area, along with agency concurrence with the proposal to stockpile Rex Chert/limestone. Additional assessment of potentially limiting conditions, hauling logistics, and other considerations will also occur as part of the FS.

Chemical information for Rex Chert/limestone is summarized in Table 2-3 and draws from various sources including the Panels F&G Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) (BLM and USFS 2007), data evaluation in support of the Panels F&G EIS (Maxim Technologies 2004) and the Site Investigation (NewFields 2005), and annual reporting of Rex Chert/limestone sampling required for ongoing mining operations (Simplot 2014 and 2015a). Because the characteristics of Rex Chert/limestone are well known and sampling of Rex Chert/limestone is included in ongoing mining operations (Table 2-3), additional testing of these materials is not expected. Agronomic information is not needed due to its use as a capillary break/drainage layer and not for plant growth. If a 2-foot-thick Rex Chert/limestone layer is identified for a CERCLA cover system in Panels A and D, then a maximum material volume of 2.4 million cy would be needed.

3.0 COST EVALUATION

Preliminary cost estimates have been prepared for the development of material sources and to haul these materials to areas requiring CERCLA cover systems. The cost estimates presented in Table 3-1 are based on Simplot's mining operations, and incorporate the following aspects for source area development:

- Construction of new haul roads (area = distance x width)
- Storm water controls during construction
- Borrow development and mining/loading
- Material hauling
- Haul road reclamation
- Borrow area/pit reclamation

The cost estimates assume hauling of material from the potential material source areas to Panel D. Although both Panels A and D may require CERCLA cover systems, the haul destination was set at a common Panel D location because the specific locations requiring these covers are not currently known. The FS will further refine these cost estimates, and present them in context with other borrow area criteria.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

The evaluation presented in this Technical Memorandum shows there are sufficient volumes of locally available Dinwoody for use in CERCLA covers (7.4 million cy), which exceeds the range of volumes anticipated (1.2 to 6 million cy) although not yet specified. At this stage in the FS evaluation of CERCLA cover systems, the properties of Dinwoody are sufficiently known due to their extensive and successful use for cover systems at the Mine. However, further site-specific characterization is planned for the proposed Dinwoody material source areas.

The material properties of Rex Chert/limestone are well known as this material has been used in cover systems at the Mine, including the Pole Canyon cover system constructed in 2015 under the NTCRA (USFS, IDEQ, and Shoshone-Bannock Tribes 2013). Coordination of potential CERCLA cover system material needs and Rex Chert/limestone made available during Panel B mining will be required so that an appropriate volume is stockpiled.

5.0 REFERENCES

- Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and U.S. Forest Service (USFS). 2007. Final Environmental Impact Statement, Smoky Canyon Mine, Panels F&G. Lead Agency: U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Idaho Falls District, Pocatello Field Office. Joint Lead Agency: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Caribou-Targhee National Forest. October.
- Formation Environmental LLC (Formation). 2014. Smoky Canyon Mine Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study. Final Remedial Investigation Report. September.
- J.R. Simplot Company (Simplot). 2007. Letter from Chad Gentry (Simplot) to Mary Kauffman (USFS) and Bill Stout (BLM) dated December 17. Subject: E-Panel Dinwoody analysis.
- Simplot. 2014. 2013 Annual Environmental Monitoring Report. April.
- Simplot. 2015a. 2014 Annual Environmental Monitoring Report. April.
- Simplot. 2015b. Email from Monty Johnson (Simplot) to Mary Kauffman (USFS) dated April 21. Subject: Brief summary of soil results – Dinwoody borrow material for Pole Canyon cover NTCRA.
- Maxim Technologies, Inc. (Maxim). 2004. Baseline technical report: phase I environmental geochemistry. Manning and Deer Creek phosphate lease areas (Panels F&G), Smoky Canyon Mine, Caribou County, Idaho. Prepared for J.R. Simplot Company, Pocatello, Idaho. March.
- Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), Soil Survey Staff. 2013a. ENG-Construction Materials; Reclamation. U.S. Department of Agriculture. Accessed August 21, 2013.
- NRCS, Soil Survey Staff. 2013b. ENG-Construction Materials; Topsoil (Idaho), 2013. U.S. Department of Agriculture. Accessed August 21, 2013.
- NewFields. 2005. Final Site Investigation Report for Smoky Canyon Mine – Area A, Caribou County, Idaho. Prepared for J.R. Simplot Company, July.
- USFS. 2013. Letter from Jack Isaacs (USFS) to Jeff Cundick (BLM) dated September 16. Subject: Recommended NRCS guidance for soil salvage for phosphate mine reclamation and CERCLA actions.

USFS. 2014. Letter from Jack Isaacs (USFS) to Jeff Cundick (BLM) dated September 8.
Subject: Update to the recommended NRCS guidance for soil salvage (letter dated September 16, 2013) to address soil pH rating values.

USFS, Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (IDEQ), and Shoshone-Bannock Tribes, 2013. Administrative Settlement Agreement and Order on Consent/Consent Order for Non-Time-Critical Removal Action entered into by Simplot, the USFS, IDEQ, and Shoshone-Bannock Tribes. November.

USFS, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), and IDEQ. 2009. Administrative Settlement Agreement and Order on Consent/Consent Order for Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (Settlement Agreement/CO) entered into by Simplot, the USFS, the USEPA, and IDEQ. August 13.

Table 2-1. Potential CERCLA Cover Material Source Areas

Proposed Borrow Area ID	Location (Approximate)	Land Ownership	Lease - ON/OFF	Other Considerations	Maximum Estimated Area (Acres)	Maximum Estimated Volume (cy)		Slope Issues?	Assumed Haul Destination	Haul Distance ^c (miles)	Recommended for Further Evaluation for CERCLA FS?
						Dinwoody ^{a,b}	Chert				
B-Panel DW Borrow A	SW 1/4 S17 T8S R46E	Primarily USFS	OFF	N.A.	36	960,453	- ^d	None anticipated	D-Panel	5.0	Yes
B-Panel DW Borrow B	N 1/2 S2 T8S R46E	Primarily USFS	OFF	N.A.	38	1,005,433	-	None anticipated	D-Panel	4.6	Yes
North Smoky DW Borrow A	S 1/2 S18 T8S R46E	USFS	OFF	Slope/Dip	112	2,955,445	-	Too Steep	D-Panel	4.1	No, too steep and questionable material
North Smoky DW Borrow B	SW 1/4 S18 T8S R46E	USFS	ON	Springs/Steep - Stability Issues	21	560,926	-	None anticipated	D-panel	3.6	No, stability issues
West Smoky DW Borrow A	E 1/2 S24 T8S R45E	USFS	OFF	Springs/Steep - Stability Issues	102	2,701,440	-	Yes	D-Panel	3.4	No, stability issues
West Smoky DW Borrow B	E 1/2 S25 T8S R45E	USFS	ON	Power Lines	24	648,240	-	Yes	D-Panel	2.2	No
West Smoky DW Borrow C	E 1/2 S36 T8S R45E	USFS	NO	Power Lines	79	2,095,535	-	Yes	D-Panel	1.7	Yes
D-Panel DW Borrow A	NE 1/4 S1 T9S R45E	USFS	OFF	Sage Creek IRA	31	833,451	-	Yes	D-Panel	1.3	Yes
D-panel DW Borrow (Approved)	W 1/2 S6 T9S R46E	USFS	ON	Used for Pole Canyon cover (2015)	30	793,763	-	None Anticipated	Pole Canyon	1.3	No, already used
D-panel DW Borrow B	SE 1/4 S1 T9S R45E	USFS	OFF	Sage Creek IRA	52	1,381,148	-	Yes	D-Panel	1.1	Yes
E-Panel DW Borrow A	SE 1/2 S12 T9S R45E	USFS	ON	Adjacent to E-0 DW failure	21	566,218	-	Probable	D-Panel	3.0	Yes
E-Panel DW Borrow B	SE 1/4 S12 T9S R45E	USFS	ON	Adjacent to E-0 DW failure	22	590,031	-	Probable	D-Panel	3.5	Yes
B-Pits Chert	S19 T8S R46E	USFS	ON	Chert from mining operations; stockpiled	-	-	See note ^e	None Anticipated	D-panel	4.6	Yes

^a Volume estimates for Dinwoody assume a borrow designed and configured the same as the recently approved and developed D-Panel borrow area (for Pole Canyon ODA NTCRA cover construction).

^b Dinwoody suitable as cover material is assumed as Type A, extending to 35-ft depth and following the surface topography, based on Dinwoody drilling at the mine including the E-Panel and D-Panel dinwoody borrows.

^c Haul destination to D-panel is assumed only for planning and hauling cost estimation, although A-panel may also require CERCLA cover systems. Distance assumes new roads are required to access areas.

^d "-" indicates not applicable.

^e Chert volume is not estimated but a much larger volume would be available from mining in B pits than required for a 2-ft thick chert layer, assuming placement in the entire Panels A and D area.

Table 2-2. Existing Information for Dinwoody Characterization

Data Collection Effort	Timing of Sample Collection	Type of Data	Basic Statistics of Results				Comments	Reporting of Results
			No. of Samples	Minimum	Mean	Maximum		
Dinwoody borrow area, west of Panel D - Pole Canyon 2013 NTCRA (sampling prior to construction)	March 2015	Selenium	3	0.12 mg/kg	0.23 mg/kg	0.4 mg/kg	---	Selenium results were provided to USFS via email (Simplot 2015b), and will be presented along with other results in the Removal Action Report to be prepared in summer 2016
		RI COPCs ^a	1	N.A. ^b	N.A.	N.A.	22 RI COPCs, including selenium	
		Agronomic	3	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	Multiple analyses, including pH, organic matter, nutrients, etc	
		Geotechnical	3	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	Multiple tests, including permeability, gradation, moisture density, Atterberg limits, liquid limit/plastic limit	
Pole Canyon 2013 NTCRA (QC testing during construction)	Summer 2015, during construction	Geotechnical	>10	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	Multiple tests, including gradation, moisture density, etc	Results will be presented in the Removal Action Report to be prepared in summer 2016
Remedial Investigation sampling of Panel E (Area 2) in 2010	June 2010	RI COPCs ^a	10	0.073 mg/kg	0.325 mg/kg	0.94 mg/kg	Samples were collected in an area reclaimed using Dinwoody and topsoil, and in some cases may include a mixture of both materials	Results were presented in the RI Report (Formation 2014)
Panel E reclamation material sampling	Sept 2007	Selenium	2	<4 mg/kg	<4 mg/kg	<4 mg/kg	---	Results were provided to USFS and BLM via letter (Simplot 2007)
		Agronomic	3	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	Multiple analyses, including pH, organic matter, nutrients, etc	

^a Twenty-two Chemicals of Potential Concern (COPCs) were identified for the Smoky Canyon Mine Remedial Investigation (RI) to characterize COPCs at the Site.

^b N.A. indicates data are available but statistics are not presented due to multiple analytes (see comments).

Table 2-3. Existing Information for Rex Chert/Limestone Characterization

Data Collection Effort	Timing of Sample Collection	Type of Data	Basic Statistics of Results				Comments	Reporting of Results
			No. of Samples	Minimum	Mean	Maximum		
Panels F&G EIS	Early 2000s	Selenium	20	1.3 mg/kg	3.3 mg/kg	5.9 mg/kg	Panel F Rex Chert samples	First reported by Maxim (2004), included in Panels F&G Final EIS (BLM and USFS 2007)
			23	0.6 mg/kg	1.5 mg/kg	3.5 mg/kg	Panel G Rex Chert samples	
			15	0.7 mg/kg	2.2 mg/kg	10 mg/kg	Panel F Franson Limestone samples	
Multiple studies at Smoky Canyon Mine	Early to mid 2000s	SI COPCs ^a	7 (selenium)	0.6 mg/kg	1.0 mg/kg	1.4 mg/kg	Rex Chert - 7 SI COPCs, including selenium	Presented in Site Investigation (SI) Report (NewFields 2005)
Chert sampling for ongoing operations	2013	Selenium	12	<0.5 mg/kg	1.1 mg/kg	3.3 mg/kg	Chert samples from Panels B and F, Franson Limestone from Panel F, and chert from Pole Canyon stockpile area	2013 Annual Environmental Monitoring Report (Simplot 2014)
Chert sampling for ongoing operations	2014	Selenium	10	<0.5 mg/kg	0.5 mg/kg	0.8 mg/kg	Chert samples from Panels B and F, and Franson Limestone from Panel F	2014 Annual Environmental Monitoring Report (Simplot 2015a)

^a Seven Chemicals of Potential Concern (COPCs) were identified for the Smoky Canyon Mine Site Investigation (SI) to characterize COPCs at the Site.

^b N.A. indicates data are available but statistics are not presented due to multiple analytes (see comments).

Table 3-1. Estimated Costs to Develop Material Sources and Haul for CERCLA Cover Use

Proposed Borrow Area ID	Haul Destination	Proposed New Haul Road Distance (mile)	New Haul Road Disturbance Area (acres)	Estimated Haul Distance (mile)	Haul Road Construction Cost Estimate	Stormwater Controls Cost Estimate	Total Construction Cost Estimate	Haul Road Reclamation Cost Estimate	Maximum Borrow Pit Area (acres)	Borrow Pit Reclamation Cost Estimate	Estimated Material Recovery (cy)	Estimated Haul Cost (\$/cy)	Material Haulage Cost Estimate	Estimated Borrow Development and Mining/ Loading Costs (\$/cy)	Total Cost (\$/cy)
B-Panel DW Borrow A	D-Panel	0.67	5.1	5.0	\$ 1,993,049	\$ 68,696	\$ 2,061,744	\$ 83,375	36	\$ 233,046	960,453	\$ 1.08	\$ 1,039,841	\$ 0.65	\$3.97
B-Panel DW Borrow B	D-Panel	0.54	4.4	4.6	\$ 1,606,338	\$ 55,367	\$ 1,661,704	\$ 71,534	38	\$ 243,960	1,005,433	\$ 1.02	\$ 1,030,465	\$ 0.65	\$3.40
West Smoky DW Borrow C	D-Panel	0.47	11.2	1.7	\$ 1,398,109	\$ 48,189	\$ 1,446,298	\$ 181,511	79	\$ 508,464	2,095,535	\$ 0.60	\$ 1,264,127	\$ 0.65	\$2.03
D-Panel DW Borrow A	D-Panel	0.73	7.4	1.3	\$ 2,171,531	\$ 74,847	\$ 2,246,378	\$ 120,196	32	\$ 202,230	833,451	\$ 0.54	\$ 453,434	\$ 0.65	\$4.03
D-panel DW Borrow B	D-Panel	1.08	15.3	1.1	\$ 3,212,676	\$ 110,733	\$ 3,323,409	\$ 248,827	52	\$ 335,124	1,381,148	\$ 0.52	\$ 715,506	\$ 0.65	\$3.75
E-Panel DW Borrow A	D-Panel	1.46	16.0	3.0	\$ 4,343,061	\$ 149,695	\$ 4,492,756	\$ 259,695	21	\$ 137,388	566,218	\$ 0.79	\$ 447,042	\$ 0.65	\$9.83
E-Panel DW Borrow B	D-Panel	2.01	34.7	3.5	\$ 5,979,146	\$ 206,087	\$ 6,185,233	\$ 562,861	22	\$ 143,166	590,031	\$ 0.87	\$ 511,000	\$ 0.65	\$12.95
B-Pits Chert	D-Panel	0.00	0.0	2.7	- ^a	-	-	-	0	\$ -	3,581,600 ^b	\$ 0.74	\$ 2,650,384	\$ 0.35	\$1.09

^a "-" indicates not applicable.

^b Estimated Material Recovery is assumed for chert for cost estimation only. The actual required volume would be less than the chert volume available from mining in the B pits.

